

Dual gravity and E_{11}

Peter West

Department of Mathematics
King's College, London WC2R 2LS, UK

Abstract

We consider the equation of motion in the gravity sector that arises from the non-linear realisation of the semi-direct product of E_{11} and its first fundamental representation denoted by l_1 in four dimensions. This equation is first order in derivatives and at low levels relates the usual field of gravity to a dual gravity field. When the generalised space-time is restricted to be the usual four dimensional space-time we show that this equation does correctly describe Einstein's theory at the linearised level. We also comment on previous discussions of dual gravity.

In parts of this paper we will clarify some previous discussions of the dual graviton and as such it will be useful to begin at the beginning. The dual gravity field first appeared in reference [1] which constructed the equation of motion of the field $\phi_{ab,c}$ with the symmetries $\phi_{ab,c} = -\phi_{ba,c}$ in a general dimension. The author noted that in the massless case, and in five dimensions, the equation of motion for this field had the same number of on-shell degrees of freedom as the graviton. In reference [2] the field $\phi_{a_1 \dots a_{D-3}, b}$ was considered in D dimensions and suggested as a candidate for the dual graviton in the sense that a quantity which contained two space-time derivatives acting on this field could be regarded as being dual to the Riemann tensor. It was pointed out that when decomposed to the group $SO(D-2)$ this field contained a component that had the same indices as the graviton $h_{a,b}$, but it was not shown that the number of degrees of freedom resulting from an analysis of a field equation were the same as gravity, or indeed that this field did provide an alternative description of gravity.

The next discussion of the dual graviton occurred in reference [3] which was the paper that introduced E_{11} . It was shown that at level three the non-linear realisation of E_{11} contained a field of the form $\hat{h}_{a_1 \dots a_8, b}$ and it was suggested that it was the dual of gravity, generalising the duality between the three and six form fields found at levels one and two respectively. In a separate section in this paper it was shown that one could rewrite Einstein's action of gravity **in a general dimension D** in the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int d^D x (\epsilon^{\mu\nu \dots \tau_{D-2}} Y_{\tau_1 \dots \tau_{D-2}} {}^d C_{\mu\nu, d} + e(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(D-3)}{(D-2)} Y_{\tau_1 \dots \tau_{D-2},} {}^d Y^{\tau_1 \dots \tau_{D-2}, d} \\ & + \frac{1}{2} (D-2) Y_{\tau_1 \dots \tau_{D-3} d,} {}^d Y^{\tau_1 \dots \tau_{D-2} e,}{}_e - \frac{1}{2} Y_{\tau_1 \dots \tau_{D-3} \kappa,} {}^d Y^{\tau_1 \dots \tau_{D-2} d,}{}_{\kappa})) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where

$$C_{\mu\nu}{}^a = \partial_\mu e_\nu{}^a - \partial_\nu e_\mu{}^a. \quad (2)$$

and $Y_{\tau_1 \dots \tau_{D-3} d,}$ is an independent field. The equations of motion for gravity then take the form [3]

$$\epsilon^{\mu\tau_1 \dots \tau_{D-1}} \partial_{\tau_1} Y_{\tau_2 \dots \tau_{D-1}} {}^d = \text{terms of order } (Y_{\tau_1 \dots \tau_{D-2}} {}^d)^2 \quad (3)$$

and

$$\epsilon_{\mu\nu}{}^{\tau_1 \dots \tau_{D-2}} Y_{\tau_1 \dots \tau_{D-2}, b} = -C_{\mu\nu, b} + C_{\nu b, \mu} - C_{\mu b, \nu} + 2(e_{\nu b} C_{\mu c}{}^c - e_{\mu b} C_{\nu c}{}^c) \quad (4)$$

Writing $e_\mu{}^a = \delta_\mu^a + h_\mu{}^a$, and at lowest order in $h_\mu{}^a$, the first of these equations implies that

$$Y_{\tau_1 \dots \tau_{D-2}, b} = \partial_{[\tau_1} h_{\tau_2 \dots \tau_{D-2}], b}. \quad (5)$$

Substituting this into equation (4) we find a description of gravity that is given in terms of the fields $h_\mu{}^a$ and $h_{\tau_1 \dots \tau_{D-3},}{}^b$ [3]. Indeed the two fields are related by a first order duality relation. It is guaranteed by the way that this field equations was constructed that if we applied another space-time derivative in such a way as to eliminate the field $h_{\tau_1 \dots \tau_{D-3},}{}^b$, then one is left with the field equation for Einstein's linearised theory. At the linearised level, we can use equation (4) to substitute for $C_{\mu\nu, b}$ in equation (1) to obtain an action entirely in terms of the field $h_{\tau_1 \dots \tau_{D-3},}{}^b$ and it was shown in reference [4] that this action, in five dimensions, was the action first proposed in reference [1].

It is important to note that the account of the dual graviton given in equations (1-5), when restricted to eleven dimensions, was slightly different to that found in the E_{11} non-linear realisation in that the field $\hat{h}_{a_1\dots a_8,b}$ found at level three in E_{11} obeys the constraint $\hat{h}_{[a_1\dots a_8,b]} = 0$, while the field $h_{a_1\dots a_{D-3},b}$ discussed in equations (1.1-1.5) did not obey this constraint. It was observed just below equation (4.3) in [5] that equation (4) at the linearised level was only locally Lorentz invariant if the usual transformation of the linearised field of gravity $h_{a,b}$ is accompanied by a transformation of the field $h_{a_1\dots a_{D-3},b}$ of the form

$$\delta h_{a,b} = -\Lambda_{ab}, \quad \delta h_{a_1\dots a_{D-3},b} = (-1)^D (D-3)! \epsilon_{a_1\dots a_{D-3}bc_1c_2} \Lambda^{c_1c_2} \quad (6)$$

Thus the local Lorentz transformation affects precisely the part of the field $h_{a_1\dots a_8,b}$ that is missing in the E_{11} formulation. We will comment further on this point at the end of this paper.

The fact that the non-linear realisation of E_{11} contains at level three a field which describes a dual formulation of gravity provides encouraging evidence for E_{11} . However, it was unclear whether or not the non-linear realisation of E_{11} would lead to precisely to an equation of motion for the usual gravity field $h_a{}^b$ and the new dual gravity field $h_{a_1\dots a_8,b}$ which has the precise form required to described gravity. This situation was discussed in reference [5]. Some subsequent papers which discuss the dual graviton are given in [15,16,17].

In order to incorporate space-time in a systematic way it was proposed in 2003 to consider the non-linear realisation of the semi-direct product of E_{11} and its first fundamental representation l_1 , denoted $E_{11} \otimes_s l_1$ [6]. The reader will be familiar with a semi-direct product as the Poincare group is just the semi-direct product of the Lorentz group and the space-time translations. This construction introduced a generalisation of space-time which included many more, indeed infinitely many more, coordinates in addition to the familiar ones. The reader who is unfamiliar with non-linear realisations and just wants to think about duality in gravity can skip to the gravity equation of motion of equation (15).

Given any group G with a subgroup H , the non-linear realisation of G with local subgroup H is build from a group element $g \in G$ and it is simply a set of dynamics that is invariant under the transformations

$$g \rightarrow g_0 g \quad \text{and} \quad g \rightarrow gh \quad (7)$$

where $g_0 \in G$ and $h \in H$ but the g_0 transformation is a rigid transformation and the h transformation, like the group element $g \in G$, is a local transformation. Rigid means that the transformation does not depend on the space-time in the theory and local means that it does. For some non-linear realisations the space-time is introduced by hand and are in effect dummy variables from the viewpoint of the non-linear realisation but for other non-linear realisations, and this is the case for us, the space-time appears as certain parameters in the group element g . The method of non-linear realisations is precisely defined and, once the number of space-time derivative is specified, the resulting dynamics is unique, or at worst determined up to a number of constants.

We wish to study the non-linear realisation of the group $E_{11} \otimes_s l_1$ with the local subgroup being the Cartan involution invariant subalgebra of E_{11} . The fields of the theory

appear in the part of the group element g associated with E_{11} , while the parameters in the part of the group element g associated with l_1 are the coordinates of the generalised space-time. For a review of non-linear realisations and the non-linear realisation of $E_{11} \otimes_s l_1$ see reference [7].

While fragments of the non-linear realisation of $E_{11} \otimes_s l_1$ have been previously worked out, see for example [3] [8] and [9], it is only in relatively recently that this non-linear realisation has systematically been computed first in eleven dimensions [10] and then in four dimensions [11,12] at low levels. As is appropriate for theories invariant under duality symmetries it has been assumed in these references that the equations of motion of the $E_{11} \otimes_s l_1$ non-linear realisation are first order in the generalised space-time derivatives and as a result they can be constructed from the Cartan forms which only transform under the local subgroup. In this paper we will not need to understand in detail how the non-linear realisation works, but we will need the resulting dynamics that involves the gravity sector found in the four dimensional theory.

The four dimensional theory is found by decomposing $E_{11} \otimes_s l_1$ with respect to the subgroup $GL(4) \otimes E_7$ which results from deleting node four in the usual labelling of the Dynkin diagram of E_{11} . The $GL(4)$ factor is associated with gravity and its indices are those of the usual four dimensional space-time. In four dimensions the fields (generators) can be classified according to a level which is just the number of down (up) minus up (down) $SL(4)$ indices that they carry. The subalgebra $GL(4) \otimes E_7$ is the algebra of E_{11} at level zero and the Cartan involution invariant subalgebra of E_{11} at level zero is $SO(4) \otimes SU(8)$. The fields in the four dimensional theory at lowest levels are

$$h_a{}^b(0), \phi^\alpha(0), A_{aN}(1), \hat{h}_{ab}(2), \dots \quad (8)$$

where the number in the bracket is the level, the indices $a, b = 1, \dots, 4$ and the label N takes the values $N = 1, \dots, 56$. The first entry is the graviton, the vielbein being given by $e_\mu{}^a = (e^h)_\mu{}^a$, the second entry contains the scalars which belong to the non-linear realisation of E_7 with local subgroup $SU(8)$, the third entry contains the gauge fields which belong to the 56-dimensional representation of E_7 and the final entry contains the dual graviton which obeys $\hat{h}_{ab} = \hat{h}_{ba}$, that is, it satisfies the previously mentioned constraint $\hat{h}_{[a,b]} = 0$.

The generalised space-time contains the usual coordinate x^a and at the next level the coordinates z^N which belongs to the 56-dimensional representation of E_7 [6,13,14] as well as unfamiliar coordinates at all higher levels. Reference [11] considered the equations of motion that result from the non-linear realisation of $E_{11} \otimes_s l_1$ when we keep only the fields of equation (8) as well as the usual coordinates of space-time x^a and the coordinates z^N . With this restriction the non-linear realisation of $E_{11} \otimes_s l_1$ leads to unique equations of motion for the vectors and the scalars [11,12] which agree precisely with those of maximal supergravity in four dimensions when we further restrict to a space-time that is the usual one with coordinates x^μ . When carrying out this calculation the local subalgebra was used to gauge away as much of the group element g as possible, except at level zero, that is, the local symmetry $SO(4) \otimes SU(8)$ was left unfixed. To construct the equations of motion without this symmetry being manifest would be very complicated as even Lorentz symmetry would not be manifest.

Beginning in the appendix of reference [11] and computed in more detail in a to be published paper [12], the variation of the vector equation under the E_{11} symmetries, was found to lead to an equation relating the graviton to the dual graviton which, when restricted to contain just these fields and to the usual coordinates of space-time, is given by

$$E_{a_1 a_2, c} \equiv P_{a_1, a_2 a_3} - P_{a_2, a_1 a_3} - 2\chi(Q_{a_1, a_2 a_3} - Q_{a_2, a_1 a_3}) - \epsilon_{a_1 a_2 b_1 b_2} \hat{P}_{b_1, b_2 a_3} - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{a_1 a_2 a_3 b} \hat{P}_{b, c}{}^c = 0 \quad (9)$$

where

$$P_{a, bc} = e_a{}^\mu e_{[b]}{}^\tau \partial_\mu e_{\tau|c]}, \quad Q_{a, bc} = e_a{}^\mu e_{[b]}{}^\tau \partial_\mu e_{\tau|c]}, \quad (10)$$

and

$$\hat{P}_{a, bc} = e_a{}^\mu (\partial_\mu \hat{h}_{b_1 b_2} + (e^{-1} \partial_\mu e)_{b_1}{}^c \hat{h}_{c b_2} + (e^{-1} \partial_\mu e)_{b_2}{}^c \hat{h}_{b_1 c}) = e_a{}^\mu e_{b_1}{}^{\rho_1} e_{b_2}{}^{\rho_2} \partial_\mu \hat{h}_{\rho_1 \rho_2} \quad (11)$$

The objects denoted by the symbols $P_{a, bc}$ and $Q_{a, bc}$ are Cartan forms of E_{11} which can be divided into those that are odd (P) and even (Q) respectively under the relevant part of the Cartan involution which is just $SO(4)$ for these objects. The value of the non-zero constant χ , and indeed the equation itself, is the subject of further study [12] which depends on the analysis of the terms that contain derivatives with respect to the higher level coordinates. Nonetheless this equation is sufficiently well known for the purposes of this paper.

The non-linear realisation of $E_{11} \otimes_s l_1$ has some unusual features compared to the more familiar cases of non-linear realisations. In particular the equations are not just constructed from the odd Cartan forms, in this case, $P_{a, bc}$ and $\hat{P}_{a, bc}$ but also the even Cartan forms $Q_{a, bc}$. This is at first sight unexpected as in the general theory of non-linear realisations the Cartan forms are inert under the rigid transformation of equation (7) but under the local transformations the odd and even parts of the Cartan forms transform into each other separately. However, once one fixes part of the group element using the local symmetry, as one usual does, then the corresponding parts of the even and odd Cartan forms associated with the local transformations used to fix the group become equal. In our case this means that the even and odd Cartan forms are all equal except for those at level zero, that is the ones in equation (10) and their E_7 analogues which do not concern us here. As a result the variation of the even Cartan forms, that is, the Q 's leads to other Q 's but these are equal, if they are above level zero, to the P 's and so can cancel terms that arise from the variation of other P 's. Indeed, it turns out that only by this mechanism can some level one terms be cancelled.

This subtle, but crucial, point has arisen for essentially the first time in the context of the $E_{11} \otimes_s l_1$ non-linear realisation and it is associated with the different treatment of the fields at level zero to those at higher levels. This origin of this difference is that, as we have mentioned, the group element g of equation (7) has been fixed using the local transformation at all levels except level zero. The above gravity equation was found by varying the the scalar and vector equations of motion under the local E_{11} parameter that involves the generators at level one and minus one; it has a parameter of the form $\Lambda_{a_1 a_2 a_3}$. This variation can only be cancelled if one adds to the gravity equation terms that contain the level zero Cartan odd forms, that is the $Q_{a, bc}$ that occur in bracket in the first line

of equation (9). Indeed these terms vary into level one Cartan forms that are odd but, as just explained, since these are equal to the even level one Cartan forms they can be used to cancel variations that arise from other even Cartan forms. This point will be further explained in reference [12].

The presence of the Cartan forms $Q_{a,bc}$ has an important consequence for the symmetries of the equation of motion. Under a local Lorentz transformation the objects $P_{a,bc}$ transform covariantly under the local subgroup while the $Q_{a,bc}$ transform inhomogeneously; in particular under a local Lorentz transformation one finds that

$$\begin{aligned}\delta P_{a,bc} &= \Lambda_a{}^e P_{e,bc} + \Lambda_b{}^e P_{a,ec} + \Lambda_c{}^e P_{a,be} \\ \delta \hat{P}_{a,bc} &= \Lambda_a{}^e \hat{P}_{e,bc} + \Lambda_b{}^e \hat{P}_{a,ec} + \Lambda_c{}^e \hat{P}_{a,be}\end{aligned}\quad (12)$$

while

$$\delta Q_{a,bc} = \Lambda_a{}^e Q_{e,bc} + \Lambda_b{}^e Q_{a,ec} + \Lambda_c{}^e Q_{a,be} - e_a{}^\mu \partial_\mu \Lambda_{bc} \quad (13)$$

The reader can easily verify that these are the transformation that result from making a local Lorentz transformation of the vierbein $e_\mu{}^a \rightarrow \Lambda^a{}_b e_\mu{}^b$ in the usual way.

It follows that as the gravity equation (9) contains the Cartan forms $Q_{a,bc}$ it can not be Lorentz invariant. Indeed, under a local Lorentz transformation $E_{a_1 a_2, c}$ transforms as

$$\delta E_{a_1 a_2, c} = \Lambda_{a_1}{}^d E_{d a_2, c} + \Lambda_{a_2}{}^d E_{a_1 d, c} + \Lambda_c{}^d E_{a_1 a_2, d} + 2\chi(e_{a_1}{}^\mu \partial_\mu \Lambda_{a_2 a_3} - e_{a_2}{}^\mu \partial_\mu \Lambda_{a_1 a_3}) \quad (14)$$

Hence, although the gravity equation was derived by demanding invariance under a transformation involving level one and minus one generators the resulting equation is not invariant under the level zero local Lorentz transformations. The correct interpretation is to regard the gravity equation (9) to hold only modulo the above local Lorentz transformations. Put another way as $E_{a_1 a_2, c}$ vanishes up to Lorentz transformations which can be stated in the form

$$\begin{aligned}E_{a_1 a_2, c} - 4\chi(e_{a_1}{}^\mu \partial_\mu \Lambda_{a_2 a_3} - e_{a_2}{}^\mu \partial_\mu \Lambda_{a_1 a_3}) &= P_{a_1, a_2 a_3} - P_{a_2, a_1 a_3} - 2\chi(Q_{a_1, a_2 a_3} - Q_{a_2, a_1 a_3}) \\ -\epsilon_{a_1 a_2 b_1 b_2} \hat{P}_{b_1, b_2 a_3} - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{a_1 a_2 a_3 b} \hat{P}_{b, c}{}^c + 2\chi(e_{a_1}{}^\mu \partial_\mu \Lambda_{a_2 a_3} - e_{a_2}{}^\mu \partial_\mu \Lambda_{a_1 a_3}) &= 0\end{aligned}\quad (15)$$

The usual spin connection $\omega_{\mu, a}{}^b$ is given in terms of these quantities by

$$\omega_{c, ab} = e_c{}^\mu \omega_{\mu, ab} = -P_{a, bc} + P_{b, ac} + Q_{c, ab} \quad (16)$$

Thus we can rewrite equation (15) in the form

$$\begin{aligned}-\omega_{a_3, a_1 a_2} + Q_{a_3, a_1 a_2} - 4\chi(Q_{a_1, a_2 a_3} - Q_{a_2, a_1 a_3}) - \epsilon_{a_1 a_2 b_1 b_2} \hat{P}_{b_1, b_2 a_3} - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{a_1 a_2 a_3 d} \hat{P}_{d, c}{}^c \\ + 2\chi(e_{a_1}{}^\mu \partial_\mu \Lambda_{a_2 a_3} - e_{a_2}{}^\mu \partial_\mu \Lambda_{a_1 a_3}) = 0\end{aligned}\quad (17)$$

In this paper we will analyse the equation that the non-linear realisation of $E_{11} \otimes l_1$ predicts for gravity, that is equation (15), or equivalently equation (17), at the linearised level. Taking $e_\mu^a = \delta_\mu^a + h_\mu^a$ we will work to linear order in h_μ^a . In this approximation

$$P_{a,bc} = \partial_a h_{(bc)}, \quad Q_{a,bc} = \partial_a h_{[bc]}, \quad \hat{P}_{a,bc} = \partial_a \hat{h}_{bc} \quad (18)$$

whereupon equation (15) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} E_{a_1 a_2, a_3}^L &\equiv \partial_{a_1} h_{(a_2 a_3)} - \partial_{a_2} h_{(a_1 a_3)} - \epsilon_{a_1 a_2 b_1 b_2} \partial_{b_1} \hat{h}_{b_2 a_3} - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{a_1 a_2 a_3 b} \partial^b \hat{h}_c^c \\ &- 2\chi(\partial_{a_1} h_{[a_2 a_3]} - \partial_{a_2} h_{[a_1 a_3]} - \partial_{a_1} \Lambda_{[a_2 a_3]} + \partial_{a_2} \Lambda_{[a_1 a_3]}) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

To find the equation for gravity in terms of the usual field h_{ab} we must manipulate equation (19) so that it no longer contains the dual gravity $\hat{h}_{ab}$ and also eliminate the local Lorentz transformation. We can eliminate the dual gravity field in two ways; we can act with ∂^{a_2} to find

$$Z_{a_1 a_3} \equiv \partial^{a_2} E_{a_2 a_2, a_3} = \partial_{a_1} \partial^{a_2} h_{(a_2 a_3)} - \partial^2 h_{(a_1 a_3)} - \partial_{a_1} \partial^{a_2} k_{a_2 a_3} + \partial^2 k_{a_1 a_3} = 0 \quad (20)$$

where $\partial^2 = \partial^c \partial_c$, and $k_{ab} = 2\chi(h_{[ab]} - \Lambda_{ab})$, or we can also trace on a_2 and a_3 to find

$$\partial_{a_1} h^b_b - \partial^b h_{(a_1 b)} + \partial_b k_{a_1}^b = 0 \quad (21)$$

To eliminate the local Lorentz transformation we can act on equation (21) with ∂_{a_3} , subtract the result from equation (20), and then symmetrise with respect to a_1 and a_3 . The final equation which is independent of the dual graviton and the local Lorentz transformation is

$$\partial_{a_1} \partial^b h_{(ba_3)} + \partial_{a_3} \partial^b h_{(ba_1)} - \partial^2 h_{(a_1 a_3)} - \partial_{a_3} \partial_{a_1} h_b^b = 0 \quad (22)$$

This is indeed the linearised Einstein equation.

Some discussions of dual gravity have focused on the fact that the dual graviton field which comes from the E_{11} non-linear realisation is subject to the constraint mentioned above, which in D dimension is given by $\hat{h}_{[a_1 \dots a_{D-3}, b]} = 0$. In particular, they considered that this constraint may lead to problems in finding the correct equation of motion for gravity. However, as it apparent from above this constraint has not prevented us from deriving the correct linearised Einstein equation. In view of the confusion that surrounds this issue we will now discuss it in more detail. The apparent problem can be stated as follows; the non-linear realisation results in an equation that involves three indices and contains the graviton and the dual graviton. However, due to the constraint once one traces on two indices one finds an equation that has one index and no dual graviton. Indeed it is straightforward to verify that if the dual graviton obeys the constraint $\hat{h}_{[a_1 \dots a_{D-3}, b]} = 0$ then there is no possible term involving epsilon one space-time derivative and this field. The resulting equation has one Lorentz index, one space-time derivative and involves the graviton field alone. However, such an equation is not compatible with Einstein's equations at the linearised level. For example, in four dimensions upon tracing equation (19) we find

equation (21), which in the absence of the realisation that the equation of motion only holds up to Lorentz transformations, results in the equation

$$\partial_a h^b_b - \partial^b h_{(ab)} = 0 \quad (23)$$

which is clearly not an equation belonging to Einstein's gravity. However, due to the presence of the Cartan form $Q_{a,bc}$ we have an associated local Lorentz transformation and then we find equation (21) which includes a local Lorentz transformation. As we have explained above to find an equation that is independent of the local Lorentz transformation and so having taken the trace we must then act with ∂^{a_1} to find the equation

$$\partial^2 h^b_b - \partial^a \partial^b h_{ab} = 0 \quad (24)$$

which is indeed the linearised version of the trace of the Einstein equation.

As we noted earlier, the discussion of reference [3] in D dimensions involved the dual graviton field $h_{a_1 \dots a_{D-3}, b}$ which was subject to the above constraint and by its derivation did describe linearised gravity correctly. However, as we discussed, the totally antisymmetric part of the dual graviton $h_{[a_1 \dots a_{D-3}, b]}$ could be removed in equation (4) by carrying out a Lorentz transformation as in equation (6) [5]. Hence one arrives at a formulation that has a dual gravity field that is constrained at the cost of having an equation that was modulo a local Lorentz equation. This formulation differs from the one considered in the bulk of this paper in that it has different trace of the spin connection terms. Since the appearance of the Cartan form $Q_{a,bc}$ in the gravity equation determines which local Lorentz transformations the equation is modulo there is some freedom to formulate the equation and still have it describe gravity at the linearised level. We note that taking the equation of gravity to be first order in space-time derivatives, but to hold modulo local Lorentz transformations, is a new way of formulating the equation of gravity.

We now comment on the discussion in reference [10] which, in a section added, gave the equation of motion of the $E_{11} \otimes_s l_1$ non-linear realisation in the gravity sector in eleven dimensions. It was realised in this paper that this equation should hold only modulo Lorentz transformations, however, what the author of this reference did not appreciate was that if one did take this into account then one found the correct linearised Einstein equation and as a result a number of the comments in the note added to this paper are misleading.

In this paper we have considered the equation of motion that follows from the $E_{11} \otimes_s l_1$ non-linear realisation in the gravity sector. Although this equation is still subject to further study we think its form as given in equation (9) is correct. This equation expresses the duality between the usual graviton field and the dual graviton and we have shown that it leads to the correct equation of motion, that is, Einstein's equation, at the linearised level. Although this result was shown in four dimensions one can be confident it will hold in all dimensions for which theories arise in the $E_{11} \otimes_s l_1$ non-linear realisation. We recall that this non-linear realisation leads to the correct equations for the scalars and the form fields and so to show the E_{11} conjecture one just has to show that it leads not only to Einstein equation at the linearised level, but to the full non-linear equation. Work towards this goal continues [12].

Although the non-linear realisation process is simple to state when carrying it out one encounters a number of rather subtle points, some of which we have discussed above. We think that the pattern encountered for the gravity equation in the $E_{11} \otimes_s l_1$ non-linear realisation is the generic one, meaning that the equations which exhibit the symmetries are first order in derivatives of the generalised space-time, but they only hold modulo certain local symmetries. To eliminate the latter one needs to take higher derivatives and so find the dynamical equations. The number of derivatives will increase as the level increases corresponding to the fact that the fields at higher levels have mixed index structures of increasing complexity. It follows from this that a Riemannian approach to the geometry, although it may work at very low levels, is unlikely to be very useful in the full theory.

Acknowledgment

I wish to thank Nikolay Gromov and Dmitri Sorokin for discussions and the SFTC for support from Consolidated grant number ST/J002798/1.

References

- [1] T. Curtright, *Generalised Gauge fields*, Phys. Lett. **165B** (1985) 304.
- [2] C. Hull, *Strongly Coupled Gravity and Duality*, Nucl.Phys. **B583** (2000) 237, hep-th/0004195.
- [3] P. West, *E_{11} and M Theory*, Class.Quant.Grav. 18 (2001) 4443-4460, hep-th/0104081.
- [4] N. Boulanger, S. Cnockaert and M. Henneaux, *A note on spin-s duality*, JHEP 0306 (2003) 060, hep-th/0306023.
- [5] P. West, *Very Extended E_8 and A_8 at low levels, Gravity and Supergravity*, Class. Quant.Grav. 20 (2003) 2393-2406, hep-th/0212291.
- [6] P. West, *E_{11} , $SL(32)$ and Central Charges*, Phys. Lett. **B 575** (2003) 333-342, hep-th/0307098
- [7] P. West, *Introduction to Strings and Branes*, Cambridge University Press 2012.
- [8] I. Schnakenburg and P. West, *Kac-Moody Symmetries of IIB Supergravity*, Phys.Lett. B517 (2001) 421-428, hep-th/0107181.
- [9] F. Riccioni and P. West, *$E(11)$ -extended spacetime and gauged supergravities*, JHEP **0802** (2008) 039, arXiv:0712.1795
- [10] P. West, *Generalised geometry, eleven dimensions and E_{11}* , JHEP 1202 (2012) 018, arXiv:1111.1642.
- [11] P. West, *E_{11} , Generalised space-time and equations of motion in four dimensions*, JHEP 1212 (2012) 068, arXiv:1206.7045.
- [12] N. Gromov A. Tumanov and P. West to appear.
- [13] P. West, *E_{11} origin of Brane charges and U-duality multiplets*, JHEP 0408 (2004) 052, hep-th/0406150.
- [14] P. West, *Brane dynamics, central charges and E_{11}* , hep-th/0412336.
- [15] N. Boulanger and O. Holm, *Non-linear parent action and dual gravity*, Phys.Rev.D78 (2008) 064027, arXiv:0806.2775.
- [16] E. Bergshoeff, M de Roo, S. Kerstan, A. Kleinschmidt, F. Riccioni, *Dual Gravity and Matter*, Gen.Rel.Grav.41 (2009) 39-48, arXiv:0803.1963.
- [17] E. Bergshoeff, M de Roo, O. Hohm, *Can dual gravity be reconciled with E_{11} ?*, Phys.Lett.**B675** (2009) 371-376, arXiv:0903.4384.